# Color I: <br> <br> trichromatic theory <br> <br> trichromatic theory CS 178, Spring 2010 



Marc Levoy<br>Computer Science Department<br>Stanford University

## Outline

- spectral power distributions
+ color response in animals and humans
+3 D colorspace of the human visual system
- and color filter arrays in cameras
- reproducing colors using three primaries
+ additive versus subtractive color mixing
- cylindrical color systems used by artists (and Photoshop)
+ chromaticity diagrams
- color temperature and white balancing
- standardized color spaces and gamut mapping


## Newton's Experimentum Crucis



- sunlight can be divided into colors using a prism
- these colors cannot be further divided using a 2 nd prism
+ experiment performed 1665, drawing made in 1672


## Newton's Experimentum Crucis

(Robin)


- alternatively, the divided colors can be recombined using a lens and 2 nd prism into a new beam that has exactly the same properties as the original


## The visible light spectrum



- wavelengths between 400 nm and $700 \mathrm{~nm}(0.4 \mu-0.7 \mu)$
- exactly the colors in a rainbow
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## Spectral power distribution (SPD)








- units of power are watts (joules per second)
- shown here are spectra of common illumination sources
- plots above are relative amounts (\%) of each wavelength


## Interaction of light with matter



- spectrum of illumination is multiplied wavelength-bywavelength by reflectance spectrum of object
- cause is absorption by the material
- so the spectrum you see depends on the illumination!
- transmittance operates the same way


## Examples of reflectance spectra




- two different spectra may appear alike to us
- white petal and white flower (above left)
- these are called metamers
. $\quad$ Newton observed this, but could not explain it


## Outline

- spectral power distributions
+ color response in animals and humans
+3 D colorspace of the human visual system
- and color filter arrays in cameras
- reproducing colors using three primaries
- additive versus subtractive color mixing
+ cylindrical color systems used by artists (and Photoshop)
- chromaticity diagrams
- color temperature and white balancing
- standardized color spaces and gamut mapping


## Monochromats

## (contents of whiteboard)



## 1

1. organisms having only one kind of retinal receptor cannot distinguish changes in intensity from changes in wavelength, hence they have no color discrimination

- for example a unit amount of $\lambda_{1}$ versus $\lambda_{2}$ above
- or a unit amount of $\lambda_{1}$ versus half as much of $\lambda_{3}$ (assuming the sensitivity to $\lambda_{3}$ is twice the response to $\lambda_{1}$ )


## Dichromats (contents of whiteboard)


2. this organism can discrimate a response in the range wavelengths covered by A versus B, but cannot discriminate with those ranges
3. this organism has color discrimination over the range of wavelengths shown

- for each wavelength within this range, the ratio of responses of receptors A and B is unique; hence the organism can identify which wavelength (e.g. $\lambda_{1}$ or $\lambda_{2}$ ) it's looking at

4. this organism has a larger range of color vision

## Trichromats (contents of whiteboard)


5. humans can discrimate wavelengths from 400 nm to 700 nm

- we can also discriminate mixtures of wavelengths that dichromats cannot; this will become clearer later
- at the retinal level, our response to light is linear
a. if the response to a unit stimulus at $\lambda_{1}$ of is $\left(\rho_{1}, \gamma_{1}, \beta_{1}\right)$, and to a unit stimulus at $\lambda_{2}$ is $\left(\rho_{2}, \gamma_{2}, \beta_{2}\right)$, then the response to a superposition of stimuli $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ is $\left(\rho_{1}+\rho_{2}, \gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}, \beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right)$
b. the response to $n$ units of a stimulus at $\lambda_{1}$ is ( $n \rho_{1}, n \gamma_{1}, n \beta_{1}$ )
c. a system that obeys superposition (a) and scaling (b) is linear


## Human response to an arbitrary stimulus

spectrum of stimulus arriving in one small area on retina $\times$
spectral sensitivity of each type of cone (L,M,S) $=$
multiply wavelength-bywavelength to get response spectra

$$
\int
$$

integrate over wavelengths to get total response for that type of cone $\longrightarrow \rho$

$\qquad$




Wavelength, nm


## Human response to an arbitrary stimulus

- stated another way, given a stimulus spectrum $L_{e}(\lambda)$, the human response to it ( $\rho, \gamma, \beta$ ) are the integrals over all visible wavelengths of our responses

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{e}(\lambda) \rho(\lambda), \\
& L_{e}(\lambda) \gamma(\lambda), \\
& L_{e}(\lambda) \beta(\lambda)
\end{aligned}
$$

to each constituent wavelength $\lambda$, i.e.


$$
(\rho, \gamma, \beta)=\left(\int_{400 n m}^{700 n m} L_{e}(\lambda) \rho(\lambda) d \lambda, \int_{400 n m}^{700 n m} L_{e}(\lambda) \gamma(\lambda) d \lambda, \int_{400 n m}^{700 n m} L_{e}(\lambda) \beta(\lambda) d \lambda\right)
$$
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## Human 3D colorspace

+ the three types of cones in our retina (Long, Medium, Short wavelength) define the axes of a three-dimensional space
- our response to any stimulus spectrum can be summarized by three numbers $(\rho, \gamma, \beta)$ and plotted as a point in this space
- our responses to all visible single-wavelength spectra (a.k.a. pure wavelengths $\lambda$, i.e. positions along the rainbow), if connected together, form a curve in this space, called the locus of spectral colors; the sequence of ( $\rho, \gamma, \beta$ ) numbers form the tristimulus sensitivity functions $\rho(\lambda), \gamma(\lambda)$, and $\beta(\lambda)$

sensitivity functions

spectral locus


## Properties of human 3D colorspace (1 of 2) (contents of whiteboard)



1. our response to any mixture $(\Sigma=1)$ of two pure wavelengths falls on a line connecting the responses to each wavelength
2. our response to any mixture $(\Sigma=1)$ of three pure wavelengths falls on a triangle connecting the responses to each wavelength; our response to any mixture ( $\Sigma \leq 1$ ) of three pure wavelengths falls in a tetrahedron defined by this triangle and the origin
3. our responses to all possible mixtures $(\Sigma \leq 1)$ of all visible wavelengths forms an irregular volume called the gamut of perceivable colors, equal to the convex hull of the spectral locus

## Properties of human 3D colorspace (2 of 2) (contents of whiteboard)


4. to a deuteranope - a color-blind person who is missing their mediumwavelength receptor, i.e. their gamma receptor - this diagram is squashed into the rectangle shown above on the rho-beta plane

- as a result, spectra whose $(\rho, \gamma, \beta)$ responses lie along the dotted lines cannot be distinguished; they will appear as the same color, i.e. as metamers
- by a similar argument, many spectra distinguishable to pentachromats (e.g. Mallard ducks) are indistinguishable to trichromats (humans)


## Color blindness



## The advantage of being color blind



- the maze (at left) is recreated (at right) using subtle intensity differences, but overridden by stronger red-green color differences
- only a deuteranope can see the maze at right


## Canon 30D color filters

+ you want the camera's R, G, and B color filters to have the same spectral sensitivities as our $L, M$, and $S$ cones
- so that there are no objects in the real world that are metamers to one system and not the other
- otherwise, colored patterns the camera sees might be invisible to a person (bad), or patterns you see might be invisible to a camera (also bad)

filter transmissivity

http://graphics.stanford.edu/courses/ cs178/applets/locus.html

spectral locus
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## Maxwell's color matching experiment

- Maxwell actually used a slightly different procedure
- see http://www.handprint.com/HP/WCL/color6.html for details
- the procedure below is used in modern versions of the experiment



## Maxwell's color matching experiment (summary of live demo)



1


1. given a stimulus wavelength, the amount of each primary required to match it is given by three numbers ( $\bar{r}, \bar{g}, \bar{b}$ )
2. some stimuli cannot be matched unless first desaturated by adding a primary to it before matching; the amount added is denoted by negative values of $r, g$, or $b$
3. the sequence of $(\bar{r}, \bar{g}, \bar{b})$ values, some negative, required to match the locus of spectral colors across all $\lambda$, form the trichromatic matching functions $r(\lambda), g(\lambda)$, and $b(\lambda)$ for a particular set of 3 primaries

## Young-Helmholtz trichromatic theory


(1773-1829)


James Clerk Maxwell
(c. 1860)


Hermann von Helmholtz
(1821-1894)

- spectra can be visually matched using mixtures of primary colors; such matches are called metamers
* due to the linearity of human retinal response, given a stimulus spectrum $L_{e}(\lambda)$, the amounts of each primary R, G, B required to match it, for any particular choice of 3 primaries, are the integrals over all visible wavelengths of the amounts $r(\lambda), g(\lambda)$, and $b(\lambda)$ required to match each constituent wavelength $\lambda$, i.e.

$$
(R, G, B)=\left(\int_{400 n m}^{700 n m} L_{e}(\lambda) \bar{r}(\lambda) d \lambda, \int_{400 n m}^{700 n m} L_{e}(\lambda) \bar{g}(\lambda) d \lambda, \int_{400 n m}^{700 n m} L_{e}(\lambda) \bar{b}(\lambda) d \lambda\right)
$$

## 3 D interpretation of color matching <br> I forgot to make the second point below about non-coplanar primaries in class. If you superimpose two of the three primaries (i.e. make

 them the same wavelength), then the gamut of reproducible colors collapses from a 30 volume to a 20 plane. More interestingly, if you convert one of the primaries to a custom SPD, you can create a vector in rho-gamma-beta space that lies in the plane of the other two primaries without coinciding with either of them. This will take some fiddling, but it too creates a 2 D gamut. Try it!- our response to varying amounts of a primary forms a vector in $(\rho, \gamma, \beta)$ space, rooted at the origin
+ to provide a normal range of color vision, three primaries are required, and their vectors must not lie on a plane
+ our responses to all possible mixtures $(\Sigma \leq 1)$ of three primaries form a tetrahedron called the gamut of reproducible colord for these primaries


RGB matching functions

http://graphics.stanford.edu/courses/ cs178/applets/locus.html

## 3D interpretation of color matching

- the spectrum of each of the three primaries can be a pure wavelength (1) or a mixture of wavelengths (2)
+ impure primaries have a smaller gamut in $(\rho, \gamma, \beta)$ space
- additional primaries can be added to increase the gamut
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## Additive versus subtractive mixing

- demo using color guns and filters


## Additive versus subtractive mixing



## 

http://graphics.stanford.edu/courses/cs178/ applets/ColorMixing-narrowCMY.swf

- superimposed colored lights or small adjacent dots combine additively - by adding their spectra wavelength-by-wavelength
- layered dyes or sequenced color filters combine subtractively - by multiplying their transmittance spectra wavelength-by-wavelength


## Additive versus subtractive mixing


http://graphics.stanford.edu/courses/cs178/ applets/colormixing.html

- superimposed colored lights or small adjacent dots combine additively - by adding their spectra wavelength-by-wavelength
- layered dyes or sequenced color filters combine subtractively - by multiplying their transmittance spectra wavelength-by-wavelength


## Additive versus subtractive mixing



- narrow spectra, widely spaced in wavelength, are best for primaries that are to be combined additively
- wide spectra that overlap are best for primaries that are to be combined subtractively, but product of all three must be black
- the particular spectra chosen is flexible; additive primaries need not be R,G,B, nor subtractive primaries C,M,Y
- additional primaries may be added to either system, resulting in a larger gamut of reproducible colors; adding black to a subtractive system (called CMYK) ensures a deep black


## Color printing

- patches of the 3 subtractive primaries (C,M,Y) overlap partially on the page, making patches of 8 meta-primaries (Wh,C,M,Y,CM,CY,MY,CMY), which combine additively in the eye when viewed from a distance
- these effects are modeled by the Neugebauer equations
- two spectra that match (i.e. are metamers) under one illuminant may not match under another
- clothes that match in the store may not match outdoors

light is reflected

illumination by an object

reflectance


